Fundamental equations for the gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of a charged black hole

Zoltán Perjés
KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics,
H–1525, Budapest 114, P.O.B. 49, Hungary
`e-mail: perjes@rmki.kfki.hu`

(February 7, 2008)

arXiv:gr-qc/0206088v1 28 Jun 2002

A pair of wave equations is presented for the gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of a charged black hole. One of the equations is uncoupled and determines the propagation of the electromagnetic perturbation. The other is for the propagation of the shear of a principal null direction and has a source term given by the solution of the first equation. This result is expected to have important applications in astrophysical models.

PACS Numbers: 04.70.-s, 97.60.Lf

The description of gravitational, electromagnetic and Weyl neutrino field perturbations in terms of a separable master equation for an uncharged black hole [1] has found a wide range of applications, which include the stability of black holes, the characteristics of gravitational and electromagnetic radiation and observations of distant relativistic objects. However, despite numerous attempts [2–5] to find a corresponding description for of the fields for charged Kerr-Newman black holes, success eluded this strive until now.

Meanwhile, the discoveries of new classes of bright astrophysical X-ray sources by, among others, the *XMM* and *Chandra* missions [6] have intensified the search for models involving charged black holes [7].

The purpose of this Letter is to present a pair of separable wave equations for *the electromagnetic and the gravitational perturbation components* of the charged black hole. These wave equations arise in a linear approximation to the coupled Einstein-Maxwell equations. It is hoped that the perturbation formalism presented in this paper will find applications in the stability theory of charged black holes, in the theory of relativistic sources of electromagnetic and gravitational radiation, and in models of astrophysical X-ray sources.

In a recent paper [8], the stationary perturbations of the charged Kerr black hole have been shown to obey a pair of simple wave equations. This structure arises in a gauge adapted to the complex bivector $\nabla_a K_b + i\left(\nabla_a K_b\right)^*$ where $K = \partial/\partial t$ is the timelike Killing vector of the black-hole space-time and an asterisk denotes the dual bivector. The procedure for the stationary perturbations provides a convenient guidance for the generic description. (The full details will be given in a subsequent paper [9]).

The Kerr-Newman space-time with mass m, rotation parameter a and electric charge e has the metric [10,11]

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \tfrac{2\mathrm{m}r - \mathrm{e}^2}{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\right)\left(dt - a\sin^2\vartheta d\varphi\right)^2 + 2\left(dt - a\sin^2\vartheta d\varphi\right)\left(dr + a\sin^2\vartheta d\varphi\right) - \zeta\overline{\zeta}\left(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2\right) \tag{1}$$

and four-potential

$$A = -\tfrac{\mathrm{e}r}{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\left(dt - a\sin^2\vartheta d\varphi\right) \tag{2}$$

where

$$\zeta = r - ia\cos\vartheta. \tag{3}$$

Previous approaches to the subject use the Kinnersley tetrad [12], the two real null vectors of which are chosen to lie in the double principal null directions of the curvature. The first important hint from the stationary study [8] is to use, instead, a gauge related to the Killing vector. Indeed, we employ a Newman-Penrose approach [13] where we choose the tetrad such that the null vector ℓ points in a principal null direction, and the null vector n is in the intersection of the 2-plane of ℓ and the Killing vector K with the null cone. This amounts to selecting the Newman *et al.* tetrad. In the present coordinate system, this is

$$\begin{aligned}
D &\equiv \ell^a \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} \\
\Delta &\equiv n^a \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{\left(\zeta+\overline{\zeta}\right)\mathrm{m} - \mathrm{e}^2}{\zeta\overline{\zeta}} - 1\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} + \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} \\
\delta &\equiv m^a \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \tfrac{1}{2^{1/2}\overline{\zeta}}\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + \tfrac{i}{\sin\vartheta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - ia\sin\vartheta\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} - \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right] \\
\overline{\delta} &\equiv \overline{m}^a \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^a}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and the Maxwell tensor components are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0 &\equiv F_{ab}\ell^a m^b = 0\\
\Phi_1 &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}F_{ab}\left(\ell^a n^b + \overline{m}^a m^b\right) = \frac{\mathrm{e}}{2^{1/2}\zeta^2}\\
\Phi_2 &\equiv F_{ab}\overline{m}^a n^b = \frac{i\mathrm{e}a\sin\vartheta}{\zeta^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

With this choice of the tetrad for the charged Kerr black hole, two components of the Weyl curvature C_{abcd} vanish, $\Psi_0 \equiv -C_{abcd}\ell^a m^b \ell^c m^d = 0$ and $\Psi_1 \equiv -C_{abcd}\ell^a n^b \ell^c m^d = 0$.

In the generic case, the Weyl tensor of the *perturbed* space-time has four distinct principal null directions which are pairwise bundled around the double null directions of the Kerr-Newman space-time. We choose the perturbed tetrad once again such that the spinor o^A is a principal spinor of the Weyl curvature:

$$\Psi_0 = 0. \tag{6}$$

This choice, though convenient for the present purposes, is not the only one that can be used. With other choices of the perturbed tetrad, the function Ψ_0 would carry information about our failure to align ℓ with a principal null direction. In Ref. [8], both the '*eigenray condition*' and a gauge adapted to the perturbed Maxwell field have been described. The generalization of the former gauge condition to an arbitrary perturbed space-time differs from (6) by perturbation terms involving the shear σ.

When such a choice of the tetrad is made to full precision, the direction of the vector ℓ is fixed up to a discrete group of transformations permuting the bundled principal null directions. In the present case we are interested in a description keeping only first-order corrections. Under the infinitesimal dyad transformation

$$o^A \to o^A + b\iota^A, \qquad \iota^A \to \iota^A, \tag{7}$$

where b is an arbitrary but small complex multiplier function such that higher powers of b are negligible, the quantity Ψ_0 transforms as follows:

$$\Psi_0 \to \Psi_0 + 4b\Psi_1. \tag{8}$$

Both b and the curvature quantity Ψ_1 are small. Thus we find serendipitiously that, to the required accuracy, the spinor o^A remains a principal spinor of the curvature under the transformations (7)! We can use this gauge freedom, in a similar fashion as Crossman [5] does, to eliminate all coupling terms from the wave equation

$$\Box_1\phi = 0 \tag{9}$$

where the wave operator is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_s = {} & \mathbf{\Delta}^{-s}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\mathbf{\Delta}^{s+1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} + \tfrac{1}{\sin\vartheta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}\sin\vartheta\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + s\left(1 - s\tfrac{\cos^2\vartheta}{\sin^2\vartheta}\right)\\
& + \left[2a\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} - \tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) + \tfrac{1}{\sin^2\vartheta}\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} + 2is\cos\vartheta\right)\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\\
& + a^2\sin^2\vartheta\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - 2(r^2+a^2)\tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial r\partial t} - 2\left[(s+2)r + ia\cos\vartheta\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

the electromagnetic perturbation function ϕ is defined by

$$\Phi_0 = \mathrm{e}\phi \tag{11}$$

and $\mathbf{\Delta} = r^2 - 2\mathrm{m}r + a^2 + \mathrm{e}^2$.

The second wave equation can be obtained by essentially repeating the steps made in Ref. [8] for deriving the master equation of the gravitational perturbation components. In the resulting equation, each term on the left contains the small function

$$\psi = \frac{\sigma}{\zeta^2} \tag{12}$$

and each term on the right contains either a ϕ or the first-order spin coefficient $\kappa \equiv \ell_{a;b}m^a\ell^b$. When inserting the unperturbed values of the operators and factors, neither the ψ terms, nor the ϕ terms cancel but those with κ do. We get the wave equation

$$\Box_2\psi = \frac{1}{\overline{\zeta}^2}J\phi. \tag{13}$$

Thus the solution ϕ of Eq. (9) will provide the source function $J\phi$ for the equation for ψ. The source term is a functional of the field ϕ containing up to second derivatives, with the operator

$$\begin{aligned} J = &\left(\mathrm{e}^2 - \mathrm{m}\zeta\right)\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + ia\sin\vartheta\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tfrac{i}{\sin\vartheta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - \tfrac{\cos\vartheta}{\sin\vartheta}\right)\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ &-\tfrac{1}{\bar\zeta}\left[\mathrm{e}^2 + \mathrm{m}\left(2\bar\zeta - \zeta\right)\right]\left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + ia\sin\vartheta\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tfrac{i}{\sin\vartheta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - \tfrac{\cos\vartheta}{\sin\vartheta}\right) \\ &+\tfrac{1}{\bar\zeta}\left[\mathrm{e}^2 - \mathrm{m}\left(2\bar\zeta + \zeta\right)\right] ia\sin\vartheta\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

When an additional matter source is present, both equations (9) and (13) acquire a source term proportional to the corresponding stress-energy tensor T_{ab}. One can treat these equations by expanding the homogeneous solutions in quasi-normal modes with energy ω and helicity m [1]:

$$\Phi_0 = \int d\omega \sum_{l,m} R\left(r\right) S_l^m\left(\vartheta\right) e^{i(m\varphi-\omega t)}. \tag{15}$$

Here the radial function $R\left(r\right)$ and the angular function $S_l^m\left(\vartheta\right)$ satisfy the ordinary differential equations, respectively,

$$\left\{\mathbf{\Delta}^{-s}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\mathbf{\Delta}^{s+1}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} + 2i\left[(r^2+a^2)\omega - am\right]\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r} + 2\omega\left[i(s+2)r + am\right] - \mathcal{A} - \omega^2a^2\right\} R = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{\sin\vartheta}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}\sin\vartheta\tfrac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + \left(\omega a\cos\vartheta - 1\right)^2 - \left(\tfrac{m+s\cos\vartheta}{\sin\vartheta}\right)^2 + s - 1 + \mathcal{A}\right] S_l^m = 0 \tag{17}$$

and $\mathcal{A} = \Lambda - \omega^2a^2$ where Λ is the separation constant of the kernel of operator $\Box_s$.

An advantageous feature of the coordinate system used here is that the metric (1) remains regular on the null hypersurfaces $\mathbf{\Delta} = 0$. Although the radial equation (16) has a singularity on the horizon (the outer solution of $\mathbf{\Delta} = 0$), one can choose the boundary conditions for the wave equations (16) and (17) on the horizon such that the perturbations ϕ and ψ are regular.

Methods for solving propagation equations, closely related to (16) and (17), with source terms have been presented in [1]. Separability of the master equations is not, however, the only property by which solution can be achieved. Chrzanowski and Misner [14] write down the solution for the uncharged black hole using the method of asymptotically factorized Green's functions.

Given a solution of Eqs. (9) and (13), the perturbation functions σ and Φ_0 are available from the respective simple relations (12) and (11). One can next compute the spin coefficient κ by a method quite similar to that described in [8]. Continuing the step-by-step integration procedure, we have an algorithm for systematically getting the full description of the perturbed space-time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Mátyás Vasúth for discussions. This work has been supported by the OTKA grant T031724.

---

[1] S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Letters **29**, 1114 (1972)
[2] E. D. Fackerell, in *Proceedings of the Second Marcel Grossmann Meeting*, Ed. R. Ruffini, North-Holland, 1982
[3] S. Chandrasekhar: *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983
[4] D. M. Chitre, Phys. Rev. **D13**, 2713 (1976)
[5] R. G. Crossman, Lett. Math. Phys. **1**, 105 (1976)
[6] F. K. Bagaroff *et al.*, Nature **413**, 45 (2001); B. Wilms *et al.*, Mon. Notic. Roy. Astron. Soc. **328**, L27 (2001); S. Vazquez *et al.*, AAS Meeting, Washington (2002)
[7] R. D. Blandford and R. L. Znajek, Mon. Notic. Roy. Astron. Soc. **179**, 433 (1977)
[8] Z. Perjés, gr-qc/0205069 (2002), submitted to Phys. Rev. D
[9] Z. Perjés and M. Vasúth, in preparation

[10] R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Letters **11**, 237 (1963)
[11] E. T. Newman *et al.*, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 918 (1965)
[12] W. Kinnersley, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1195 (1969)
[13] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 566 (1962). The Maxwell stress components (5) have the four-potential $16\sqrt{2}A$.
[14] P. L. Chrzanowski and C. W. Misner, Phys. Rev. **D10**, 1701 (1974)